Using College Placement Reform to Catalyze Institutional Change







Meet Your Hosts



Kri Burkander Research for Action Melinda Karn Phase Two Advisory **Vikash Reddv** The Campaign for College Opportunity

Housekeeping

- Event is being recorded and the recording will be posted online afterward.
- Speaker biographies and slides are available online at researchforaction.org.
- Participants, please mute unless speaking.
- Type your **questions** and **comments** into the chat box at any time.
- Please complete the survey at the end of the event.

Using Placement Reform to Catalyze Institutional Change

June 18, 2024 Research for Action + Phase Two Advisory Webinar



Phase Two Advisory

Turning research into reality, for student success.





Motivation:

Equity-forward systems change is never done.

• First-generation developmental education reforms focused on removing barriers to college courses through three distinct groupings of reforms: **placement**, curriculum, and pedagogy.

- Current approaches to placement are better than their forebearers—but remain imperfect.
 - New approaches to placement improve student momentum, although many students continue to be placed in developmental courses and equity gaps—though closing—remain (Hu et al., 2019; Barnett et al., 2020; Kopko, Daniels, & Cullinan, 2023).
 - Placement reforms focused on improved accuracy and reflect inequities within the educational system, therefore continuing to serve as sorting mechanisms that reinforce racial and linguistic stratification.
 - Core institutional functions are not touched, interrogated, or improved by current placement approaches.



What's next? Placement 2.0

CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT AND INNOVATION

What placement approaches are colleges implementing in order to continue to **evolve**, center equity, and improve momentum outcomes for more students?

SECOND-ORDER INSTITUTIONAL TRANSFORMATION

Can placement approaches be used to *transform* institutional functioning and the student experience, rather than merely be used to improve accuracy?



Placement-as-Transformation

Placement-as-Transformation uses the placement process to spur broader institutional improvement efforts in order to accelerate equitable student success.

- Intentionally addresses equity gaps and/or institutional barriers to completion
- Generates structural, behavioral, and normative shifts in college practices
- Creates ripple effects in advising, teaching, support practices, or institutional infrastructure



The Exploratory Study

13 states; 15 broad access institutions

Semi-structured virtual interviews with 47 individuals

Data analysis

- ✓ Identifying new approaches
- ✓ Examining instances of transformation
- ✓ Generating implementation learnings and implications



D

ADVISORY

This project was funded by Ascendium Education Group.

All findings in this presentation are preliminary as of June 2024.

Question 1: New "flavors" of placement

Reflective Algorithms

Expansion of multiple measures to include student reflections on academic experiences and/or habits. Relies on algorithmic placement.

5 institutions

Guided Self-Placement

Holistic self-assessment that provides students with information about courses, and then asks about their background. strengths, and academic comfort to enable them to select into a selfidentified appropriate course.

4 institutions

Diagnostic Just-in-time Support

Universal access to collegecredit courses coupled with intentional provision of tailored support to students identified by themselves or instructors.

3 institutions

Question 2: Placement-as-Transformation

Transformation Indicators

- ✓ Intentionally designed to address equity gaps
- ✓ Intentionally addresses completion barriers
- Extent of structural, behavioral, and/or normative shifts
- \checkmark "Tentacles" and subsequent efforts

Transformation Scale

- ✓ Substantial: Multiple indicators, across the institution
- Moderate: Indicators across multiple departments, but not the entire institution
- ✓ Departmental: Multiple indicators within a single department
- ✓ Nascent: Early evidence of change on multiple indicators, but insufficient to identify transformation of college functioning



Placement reform can be used as a transformation lever.

Transformative (8)				
IL	N/A	Substantial		
WI	Just-in-time	Substantial		
CO	Reflective Algorithm	Moderate		
IA	Just-in-time	Moderate		
NY2	Reflective Algorithm	Moderate		
ID	Reflective Algorithm	Departmental		
MA	N/A	Departmental		
NY1	Reflective Algorithm	Departmental		

Non-transformative (7)					
CA2	Reflective Algorithm	Nascent			
MD	Guided Self-placement	Nascent			
TX	Just-in-time	Nascent			
VA	Guided Self-placement	Nascent			
VT	Guided Self-placement	Nascent			
CA1	Guided Self-placement	None			
NV	Guided Self-placement	None			



For more information and support, please reach out!

Melinda@phasetwoadvisory.com Suzanne@phasetwoadvisory.com

Becoming "Student-Ready": Faculty Mindsets in Implementation of Placement Reform







- Motivation
- California context AB 705 and 1705
- Literature Review
- Methods and Analysis
- Findings



Motivation

- Developmental Education (DE) is recognized to have failed students; deters students from credential completion
- Racial equity mandate
- Understanding students' experiences in DE reform is critical



California Context – AB 705 and 1705

- AB 705: passed in 2017, took effect in 2019
 - Targeted placement, requiring colleges to use HS transcript data to "maximize the likelihood that students will enter and complete transferlevel English and math within one year"
 - Expanding transfer-level options and increasing supports, including corequisites
- AB 1705: passed 2022, took effect in 2023
 - Closed perceived loopholes in placement; eliminated most DE courses

ollege of Education

 The California Community College system is the largest and most diverse in the nation, with 116 community colleges

Literature Review

- Lots of evidence that corequisites are effective for both English and math (Boatman 2012; Cho et al. 2012; Jenkins et al. 2010; Logue, Watanabe-Rose, and Douglas 2016; Logue, Douglas, and Watanabe-Rose 2019; Ran and Lin 2019)
- Faculty mindsets matter (Kroeper et al., 2022; Murphy et al., 2022, Muenks et al., 2020; Tibbets et al., 2022)
- Equity-mindedness (Bensimon, 2005); student-ready vs. college-ready



Current Study

- 5-year IES-funded study on the implementation, impact, and cost-effectiveness of developmental education curricular reform in all California community colleges
- RFA is leading the project in partnership with The University of Texas at Austin
- The research reported here was supported by the Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education, through Grant R305A210286 to Research for Action





- Sampled 13 colleges according to a Scale of Implementation*
 - 4 low, 5 mid, and 4 high implementer colleges
- Site visits in fall 2022 and winter 2023
- Data sources:
 - Interviews with 122 faculty and administrators
 - Faculty survey in spring 2024 completed by 126 faculty



Methods

- Faculty interviews were recorded, transcribed, and coded in Dedoose
 - Equity-minded frame vs. deficit-minded frame
- Analyzed in Dedoose comparing responses from faculty at low and high implementing colleges



Methods

- Deficit cognitive frame: inclined to attribute differences in educational outcomes for Black, Latinx, and Indigenous students, such as lower rates of retention or degree completion, to "cultural stereotypes, inadequate socialization, or lack of motivation and initiative on the part of the students."
- Equity cognitive frame: "prone to notice and question patterns of educational outcomes and are more likely to view inequalities in the context of a history of exclusion, discrimination, and educational apartheid"

(Bensimon, 2005)



Findings

"What happened is a lot of our part-timers were the ones who were teaching remedial courses. Because our full-timers... they're just **too talented to be wasted** on teaching students who really needed to learn math."

"I think that part of success in these classes is impacted by our students being in poverty...*it's really hard to get someone to write an essay when they are unhoused and they don't have food.*"

"Once we understood that when a student is placed too low, they do poorly because they're bored. And *it's also sending the message to them, you are not a college student. You don't belong here. Go back and take high school math.* It's all that subliminal messaging. [Instead] It's like, well, you're here. Come be a college student and we'll support you."





 Significant differences in the frequency of equity- and deficitminded comments between faculty at low- and highimplementer colleges

Code Counts associated with Deficit and Equity Cognitive Frames

Classification	Deficit Cognitive Frame	Equity Cognitive Frame	Chi Square
High	108.0204	175.0204	
Low	186.549	135.3137	23.902, p<.00001



Survey Findings

 Only one mindset question was statistically different between high and low implementers, among English faculty – whether it would be better for some students to start in pre-transfer level



So What?

- Students perceive mindsets espoused by faculty in corequisite courses
- Performative practices are not enough; faculty have to shift mindsets to support/sustain meaningful institutional transformation and make college a student-ready environment
- Faculty mindsets may be changing eager for fall 2024 fieldwork





 \cap \bigcirc 00 \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc 00OO()() \bigcirc \bigcirc \odot 0 \bigcirc ()() \bigcirc ()) ()





RESEARCH FOR ACTION

Stay Connected (in) (in) (iii) (iii



kburkander@researchforaction.org melinda@phasetwoadvisory.com

vikash@collegecampaign.org

www.researchforaction.org



267-295-7760